DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 101 903 RC 008 339

AUTHOR	Ohlendorf, George W.
TITLE	Place of Residence Projections of Rural Louisiana Youth: A Historical Comparison.
INSTITUTION	Louisiana State Univ., Baton Rouge. Agricultural Experiment Station.
SPONS AGENCY	Cooperative State Research Service (DOA), Washington, D.C.
REPORT NO	LAES-1231; USDA(CSRS)-S-81
PUB DATE	Mar 74
NOTE	57p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Southwestern Sociological Association, Dallas, Texas, March 1974
EDRS PRICE	MF-$0.76 HC-$3.32 PLUS POSTAGE
DESCRIPTORS	Anglo Americans; *Aspiration; *Changing Attitudes; *Comparative Analysis; *Expectation; High School Students; Negroes; Rural Urban Differences; *Rural Youth; Seniors; Sex (Characteristics); Tables (Data)
IDENTIFIERS	Louisiana; *Place of Residence

ABSTRACT

Change in place of residence projections among rural Louisiana high school seniors was analyzed for the years 1968 and 1972. A proportionate, stratified random cluster sampling technique was employed for sample selection. A total of 20 schools (13 white and 7 black) constituted sample selection for 1968. In 1972 public school integration and establishment of private academies confounded opportunity to study historical change in the same schools, but a racial grouping corresponding to the 1968 study was utilized, and an attempt was made to involve the specific respondents who would have been 1972 seniors in the 20 schools from 1968. In both studies an 18 page version of the 1968 S-61 Southern Youth Study questionnaire was group administered to 544 (1968) and 453 (1972) seniors, though the 1972 questionnaire included the Occupational Aspiration Scale and significant-other influence items and 17 additional mailed responses. Change was measured in terms of (1) residence aspirations; (2) residence expectations; (3) anticipatory deflection; (4) intensity of aspiration; and (5) certainty of expectations, as identified by race, sex, and place of residence. Findings reported via tabular data constituted the major portion of this comparative study. (JC)

ED101903

Corrected Copy

RECEIVED
OCT 1 1974
NMSU
E.R.I.C.

PLACE OF RESIDENCE PROJECTIONS OF RURAL LOUISIANA YOUTH: A HISTORICAL COMPARISON

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

George W. Ohlendorf

Departments of Sociology and Rural Sociology

Louisiana State University

Presented at the Southwestern Sociological Association annual meeting, Dallas, Texas, March, 1974. Development of this paper was supported by the Louisiana Agricultural Experiment Station as a contribution to Project 1231 and Research Project S-81, "Development of Human Resource Potentials of Rural Youth in the South and Their Patterns of Mobility."

ED100839

Purpose

The purpose of this paper is to examine changes in place of residence projections among rural Louisiana high school youth between 1968 and 1972. It was prepared for panel presentation of a similar study of rural southern youth.

Sample Selection

1968

The aim of the research design was to obtain a representative sample of rural high school youth in Louisiana. A proportionate, stratified, random cluster sampling technique was employed for sample selection.

Procedures followed in selecting the sample were as follows: (1) The state was stratified by four geographical areas to represent the four quadrants of the state which differ somewhat in their social and economic characteristics. Moreover, each area is relatively homogeneous in its social and economic traits compared to the others. (2) Clusters (or schools) served as the sampling unit. Within each area, between four and six schools were selected randomly from nonmetropolitan parishes to obtain black and white students proportionate to their enrollment in nonmetropolitan schools within the state. A total of 20 schools--13 white and seven black--was selected for the entire state. (3) Senior students in the schools were designated as respondents for the sample to correspond with the cohort being studied in the other states participating in the project.

1972

Public school integration and establishment of private academies confounded the opportunity to study historical change in the same schools. In 1972, only four of the original 20 schools--three white and one black--were substantially the same as in 1968 and two had been closed. Consequently, these four unchanged schools and the 14 other integrated ones still open were selected as the sampling units for studying historical change. The 14 schools (black and white) had been integrated with identifiable previously segregated schools (white or black). Although all students in the schools completed questionnaires in 1972, only the racial grouping corresponding to the 1968 schools was utilized in this analysis. In addition, private academies had been opened in two places where white schools had been included in 1968. These were added to the sample in 1972 because some students who otherwise would have been attending public schools were attending these academies. Insofar as possible, an attempt was made to involve the specific respondents who would have been 1972 seniors in the 20 schools from 1968.

Data Collection

1968

An 18-page version of the 1968 S-61 Southern Youth Study questionnaire was utilized to collect data by means of group interviews in the schools during early April. These interviews were conducted by staff members

(faculty and graduate assistants) from the Department of Rural Sociology at Louisiana State University, who had been instructed in interview procedures prior to the field work. Approximately 60 minutes were required for each administration. All the seniors present on the day the interview was scheduled participated, but no attempt was made to contact any who were absent. A total of 544 completed questionnaires were obtained--325 from whites, 218 from blacks, and one from a Native American. The questionnaire for one black has been lost, leaving the distribution of respondents in Table A available for analysis.

1972

A slightly modified version of the 1968 questionnaire was utilized. The deleted items were at the back of the questionnaire and were not concerned directly with the project objectives. Additions included the Occupational Aspiration Scale and significant-other influence items. These replaced the deleted items.

During May, this questionnaire was administered to the senior classes in the schools. Completed questionnaires were obtained from 453 seniors in the schools except that a mail questionnaire was utilized for one private academy because the school year had concluded too early to administer the questionnaire in the school. This academy had 19 graduates and 17 of them returned their questionnaires. The distribution of respondents is provided in Table A.

Table A. Distribution of Rural Louisiana Senior Respondents in 1968 and 1972, by Race and Sex

	1968			1972		
Race	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Black	91[a]	126	217[a]	64	77	141
White	168[a]	157	325[a]	150	162	312
TOTAL	259[a]	283	542[a]	214	239	453

[a]Excluding 1 Native American and 1 lost questionnaire

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Table 1. Change in Place of Residence Aspirations of Rural Louisiana Youth by Race and Sex, 1968-1972 (Percentages)

	BOYS						GIRLS					
	BLACK			WHITE			BLACK			WHITE		
Aspiration	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change
Large City	24.1	26.2	+2.1	4.7	4.8	+0.1	33.9	34.2	+0.3	8.0	9.9	+1.9
Small City	19.0	31.1	+12.1	23.0	15.2	-7.8	37.4	38.2	+0.8	35.3	29.2	-6.1
Town or Village	17.7	14.8	-2.9	10.8	14.5	+3.7	11.3	6.6	-4.7	13.3	19.3	+6.0
Country[1] (nonfarm)	20.3	19.7	-0.6	33.8	46.9	+13.1	13.9	21.1	+7.2	33.3	29.8	-3.5
Farm[1]	19.0	8.2	-10.8	27.7	18.6	-9.1	3.5	0.0	-3.5	10.0	11.8	+1.8
Total	100.1	100.0	- -	100.0	100.0	- -	100.0	100.1	- -	99.9	100.0	- -
URBAN	43.1	57.3	+14.2	27.7	20.0	-7.7	71.3	72.4	+1.1	43.3	39.1	-4.2
RURAL	57.0	42.7	-14.3	72.3	80.0	+7.7	28.7	27.7	-1.0	56.6	60.9	+4.3
N =	79	61	- -	148	145	- -	115	76	- -	150	16.	- -
	X^2 = 5.16 d.f. = 4 p = .27			X^2 = 8.84 d.f. = 4 p = .06			X^2 = 1.42 d.f. = 3 p = .70			X^2 = 3.43 d.f.= 4 p = .49		

[1] Categories combined for black girls for X^2.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Table 2. Change in Place of Residence Expectations of Rural Youth by Race and Sex, 1968-1972 (Percentages)

	BOYS						GIRLS					
	BLACK			WHITE			BLACK			WHITE		
Expectation	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change
Large City	25.6	21.1	-4.5	7.9	7.6	-0.3	31.7	32.9	+1.2	7.1	10.8	+3.7
Small City	22.1	38.6	+16.5	30.9	26.2	-4.7	38.2	38.2	0.0	45.5	36.3	-9.2
Town or Village	17.4	19.3	+1.9	13.3	14.5	+1.2	14.6	6.6	-8.0	17.5	21.7	+4.2
Country[1] (Nonfarm)	18.6	19.3	+0.7	27.3	36.6	+9.3	12.2	22.4	+10.2	23.4	24.2	+0.8
Farm[1]	16.3	1.8	-14.5	20.6	15.2	-5.4	3.3	0.0	-3.3	6.5	7.0	+0.5
Total	100.0	100.1	- -	100.0	100.1	- -	100.0	100.1	- -	100.0	100.0	- -
URBAN	47.7	59.7	+12.0	38.8	33.8	-5.0	69.9	71.1	+1.2	52.6	47.1	-5.5
RURAL	52.3	40.4	-11.9	61.2	66.3	+5.1	30.1	29.0	-1.1	47.4	52.9	+5.5
N =	86	57	- -	165	145	- -	123	76	- -	154	157	- -
	X^2= 10.52 d.f. = 4 p = .03			X^2 = 4.04 d.f. = 4 p = .40			X^2 = 3.90 d.f. = 3 p = .27			X^2= 3.49 d.f.= 4 p = .48		

[1]Categories combined for black girls for X^2.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Table 3. Change in Anticipatory Deflection From Residence Aspirations of Rural Louisiana Youth By Race and Sex, 1968-1972 (Percentages)

	BOYS						GIRLS					
	BLACK			WHITE			BLACK			WHITE		
Anticipatory Deflection	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change
None	85.3	80.0	-5.3	70.5	73.9	+3.4	73.0	85.3	+12.3	66.0	71.2	+5.2
Rural to Urban	9.3	9.1	-0.2	14.4	16.2	+1.8	7.8	2.7	-5.1	6.1	13.5	+7.4
Urban to Rural[1]	1.3	1.8	+0.5	3.4	1.4	-2.0	7.8	5.3	-2.5	16.3	5.1	-11.2
Other[1]	4.0	9.1	+5.1	11.6	8.5	-3.1	11.3	6.7	-4.6	11.6	10.3	-1.3
Total	99.9	100.0	- -	99.9	100.0	- -	99.9	100.0	- -	100.0	100.1	- -
N =	75	55	- -	146	142	- -	115	75	- -	147	156	- -

$X^2 = 1.39$, d.f. = 2, p = .50

$X^2 = 1.83$, d.f. = 2, p = .40

$X^2 = 4.41$, d.f. = 3, p = .22

$X^2 = 0.96$, d.f. = 3, p = .81

[1]Categories combined for both black boys and white boys for X^2.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Table 4. Change in Intensity of Residence Aspirations of Rural Louisiana Youth by Race and Sex, 1968-1972 (Percentages)

Intensity of Aspirations	BOYS						GIRLS					
	BLACK			WHITE			BLACK			WHITE		
	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change
1 (High)[a]	2.5	1.8	-0.7	6.5	4.8	-1.7	0.8	0.0	-0.8	3.2	5.0	+1.8
2[a]	3.8	1.8	-2.0	10.1	5.5	-4.6	5.0	6.9	+1.9	11.5	6.8	-4.7
3[a]	17.5	10.9	-6.6	14.9	18.5	+3.6	20.0	12.5	-7.5	17.9	14.3	-3.6
4[a]	40.0	43.6	+3.6	20.8	31.5	+10.7	37.5	33.3	-4.2	25.0	27.3	+2.3
5[b]	21.2	29.1	+7.9	26.2	26.0	-0.2	24.2	26.4	+2.2	23.1	30.4	+7.3
6[b]	11.2	10.9	-0.3	17.9	8.9	-9.0	10.0	12.5	+2.5	14.7	13.0	-1.7
7 (Low)[b]	3.8	1.8	-2.0	3.6	4.8	+1.2	2.5	8.3	+5.8	4.5	3.1	-1.4
Total	100.0	99.9	- -	100.0	100.0	- -	100.0	99.9	- -	99.9	99.9	- -
N =	80	55	- -	168	146	- -	120	72	- -	156	161	- -
	X^2 = 0.43 d.f.= 1 p = .52			X^2 = 11.45 d.f.= 6 p = .07			*			X^2 = 5.51 d.f.= 6 p = .48		

[a,b] Categories combined for black boys for X^2.

*X^2 Not computed because >20% of the cells contained expected frequencies <5; see table 5 for X^2 of combined categories.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Table 5. Change in Level of Intensity of Residence Aspirations of Rural Louisiana Youth by Race and Sex, 1968-1972 (Percentages)

Level of Intensity	BOYS						GIRLS					
	BLACK			WHITE			BLACK			WHITE		
	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change
High (1,2)	6.3	3.6	-2.7	16.6	10.3	-6.3	5.8	6.9	+1.1	14.7	11.8	-2.9
Intermed. (3,4,5)	78.7	83.6	+4.9	61.9	76.0	+14.1	81.7	72.2	-9.5	66.0	72.0	+6.0
Low (6,7)	15.0	12.7	-2.3	21.5	13.7	-7.8	12.5	20.8	+8.3	19.2	16.1	-3.1
Total	100.0	99.9	- -	100.0	100.0	- -	100.0	99.9	- -	99.9	99.9	- -
N =	80	55	- -	168	146	- -	120	72	- -	156	161	- -
*				X^2= 7.22 d.f.= 2 p = .03			X^2= 2.60 d.f.= 2 p = .27			X^2 = 1.36 d.f.= 2 p = .51		

*X^2 not computed because >20% of the cells contained expected frequencies <5; see table 4 for X^2 of combined categories.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Table 6. Change in Certainty of Residence Expectations of Rural Louisiana Youth by Race and Sec, 1968-1972 (Percentages)

Certainty of Expectation	BOYS						GIRLS					
	BLACK			WHITE			BLACK			WHITE		
	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change
Very Certain	17.2	27.4	+10.2	16.3	22.1	+5.8	24.0	18.4	-5.6	8.4	20.4	+12.0
Certain	36.8	21.0	-15.8	31.9	40.9	+9.0	39.7	34.2	-5.5	32.9	34.6	+1.7
Not Very Certain	34.5	33.9	-0.6	38.6	29.5	-9.1	29.8	39.5	+9.7	44.5	32.7	-11.8
Uncertain	11.5	17.7	+6.2	13.2	7.4	-5.8	6.6	7.9	+1.3	14.2	12.3	-1.9
Total	100.0	100.0	- -	100.0	99.9	- -	100.1	100.0	- -	100.0	100.0	- -
N =	87	62	- -	166	149	- -	121	76	- -	155	162	- -
	X^2 = 5.75 d.f. = 3 p = .12			X^2 = 7.64 d.f. = 3 p = .05			X^2 = 2.45 d.f. = 3 p = .49			X^2 = 10.97 d.f. = 3 p = .01		

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Table 7. Change in Place of Residence Aspirations of Rural Louisiana Black Boys by Residence, 1968-1972 (Percentages)

Aspiration	RESIDENCE											
	Farm			Open-Country (Nonfarm)			Town or Village			City		
	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change
Large City	17.2	12.5	-4.7	31.2	30.4	-0.8	11.1	24.0	+12.9	33.3	25.0	-8.3
Small City	10.3	0.0	-10.3	21.9	26.1	+4.2	22.2	48.0	+25.8	33.3	25.0	-8.3
Town or Village	20.7	0.0	-20.7	15.6	13.0	-2.6	22.2	20.0	-2.2	11.1	25.0	+13.9
Country (Nonfarm)	17.2	37.5	+20.3	15.6	26.1	+10.5	44.4	8.0	+36.0	22.2	25.0	+2.8
Farm	34.5	50.0	+15.5	15.6	4.3	-11.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	99.9	100.0	- -	99.9	99.9	- -	99.9	100.0	- -	99.9	100.0	- -
URBAN	27.5	12.5	-15.0	53.1	56.5	+3.4	33.3	72.0	+38.7	66.6	75.0	+8.4
RURAL	72.4	87.5	+15.1	46.8	43.4	-3.4	66.6	28.0	-38.6	33.3	25.0	-8.3
N =	29	8	- -	32	23	- -	9	25	- -	9	4	- -

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Table 8. Change in Place of Residence Aspirations of Rural Louisiana White Boys by Residence, 1968-1972 (Percentages)

Aspiration	RESIDENCE											
	Farm			Open-Country (Nonfarm)			Town or Village			City		
	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change
Large City	4.8	2.7	-2.1	3.9	3.9	0.0	0.0	6.7	+6.7	12.5	7.4	-5.1
Small City	11.3	8.1	-3.2	15.7	9.8	-5.9	57.9	26.7	-31.2	50.0	22.2	-27.8
Town or Village	4.8	5.4	+0.6	17.6	11.8	-5.8	10.5	33.3	+22.8	12.5	11.1	-1.4
Country (Nonfarm)	21.0	27.0	+6.0	54.9	70.6	+15.7	26.3	26.7	+0.4	25.0	51.9	+26.9
Farm	58.1	56.8	-1.3	7.8	3.9	-3.9	5.3	6.7	+1.4	0.0	7.4	+7.4
Total	100.0	100.0	- -	99.9	100.0	- -	100.0	100.1	- -	100.0	100.0	- -
URBAN	16.1	10.8	-5.3	19.6	13.7	-5.9	57.9	33.4	-24.5	62.5	79.6	+17.1
RURAL	83.9	89.2	+5.3	80.3	86.3	+6.0	42.1	66.7	+24.6	37.5	20.4	-17.1
N =	62	37	- -	51	51	- -	19	30	- -	16	27	- -

Table 9.	Change in Place of Residence Aspirations of Rural Louisiana Black Girls by Residence, 1968-1972 (Percentages)											
	RESIDENCE											
	Farm			Open-Country (Nonfarm)			Town or Village			City		
Aspiration	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change
Large City	24.1	30.0	+5.9	40.4	32.6	-7.8	31.8	33.3	+1.5	33.3	42.9	+9.6
Small City	20.7	50.0	+29.3	36.5	32.6	-3.9	50.0	41.7	-8.3	58.3	57.1	-1.2
Town or Village	13.8	10.0	-3.8	9.6	6.5	-3.1	13.6	8.3	-5.3	8.3	0.0	-8.3
Country (Nonfarm)	27.6	10.0	-17.6	13.5	28.3	+14.8	4.5	16.7	+12.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
Farm	13.8	0.0	-13.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	- -	100.0	100.0	- -	99.9	100.0	- -	99.9	100.0	- -
URBAN	44.8	80.0	+35.2	76.9	65.2	-11.7	81.8	75.0	-6.8	91.6	100.0	+8.4
RURAL	55.2	20.0	-35.2	23.1	34.8	+11.7	18.1	25.0	+6.9	8.3	0.0	-8.3
N =	29	10	- -	52	46	- -	22	12	- -	12	7	- -

Table 10. Change in Place of Residence Aspirations of Rural Louisiana White Girls by Residence, 1968-1972 (Percentages)

Aspiration	RESIDENCE											
	Farm			Open-Country (Nonfarm)			Town or Village			City		
	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change
Large City	7.7	8.0	+0.3	2.0	10.0	+8.0	14.3	4.8	-9.5	14.3	17.6	+3.3
Small City	30.8	20.0	-10.8	34.7	15.0	-19.7	39.3	45.2	+5.9	42.9	41.2	-1.7
Town or Village	15.4	8.0	-7.4	12.2	16.7	+4.5	21.4	35.7	+14.3	0.0	11.8	+11.8
Country (Nonfarm)	25.0	28.0	+3.0	51.0	50.0	-1.0	21.4	9.5	-11.9	28.6	20.6	-8.0
Farm	21.2	36.0	+14.8	0.0	8.3	+8.3	3.6	4.8	+1.2	14.3	8.8	-5.5
Total	100.1	100.0	- -	99.9	100.0	- -	100.0	100.0	- -	100.1	100.0	- -
URBAN	38.5	28.0	-10.5	36.7	25.0	-11.7	53.6	50.0	-3.6	57.2	58.8	+1.6
RURAL	61.6	72.0	+10.4	63.2	75.0	+11.8	46.4	50.0	+3.6	42.9	41.2	-1.7
N =	52	25	- -	49	60	- -	28	42	- -	21	34	- -

Table 11. Change in Place of Residence Expectation of Rural Louisiana Black Boys by Residence, 1968-1972 (Percentages)

Expectation	RESIDENCE											
	Farm			Open-Country (Nonfarm)			Town or Village			City		
	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change
Large City	18.8	28.6	+9.8	30.6	15.8	-14.8	20.0	22.2	+2.2	37.5	25.0	-12.5
Small City	9.4	14.3	+4.9	30.6	36.8	+6.2	30.0	48.1	+18.1	25.0	25.0	0.0
Town or Village	18.8	0.0	-18.8	13.9	15.8	+1.9	20.0	25.9	+5.9	25.0	25.0	0.0
Country (Nonfarm)	25.0	57.1	+32.1	13.9	26.3	+12.4	20.0	3.7	-16.3	12.5	25.0	+12.5
Farm	28.1	0.0	-28.1	11.1	5.3	-5.8	10.0	0.0	-10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	100.1	100.0	- -	100.1	100.0	- -	100.0	99.9	- -	100.0	100.0	- -
URBAN	28.2	42.9	+14.7	61.2	52.6	-8.6	50.0	70.3	+20.3	62.5	75.0	+12.5
RURAL	71.9	57.1	-14.8	38.9	47.4	+8.5	50.0	29.6	-20.4	37.5	25.0	-12.5
N =	32	7	- -	36	19	- -	10	27	- -	8	4	- -

Table 12. Change in Place of Residence Expectation of Rural Louisiana White Boys by Residence, 1968-1972 (Percentages)

Expectation	RESIDENCE											
	Farm			Open-Country (Nonfarm)			Town or Village			City		
	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change
Large City	9.0	5.0	-4.0	7.3	4.0	-3.3	4.2	10.7	+6.5	10.5	14.8	+4.3
Small City	19.4	22.5	+3.1	30.9	16.0	-14.9	41.7	35.7	-6.0	57.9	40.7	-17.2
Town or Village	9.0	2.5	-6.5	12.7	12.0	-0.7	25.0	39.3	+14.3	15.8	11.1	-4.7
Country (Nonfarm)	19.4	22.5	+3.1	40.0	64.0	+24.0	29.2	14.3	-14.9	15.8	29.6	+13.8
Farm	43.3	47.5	+4.2	9.1	4.0	-5.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.7	+3.7
Total	100.1	100.0	- -	100.0	100.0	- -	100.1	100.0	- -	100.0	99.9	- -
URBAN	28.4	27.5	-0.9	38.2	20.0	-18.2	45.9	46.4	+0.5	68.4	55.5	-12.9
RURAL	71.7	72.5	+0.8	61.8	80.0	+18.2	54.2	53.6	-0.6	31.6	44.4	+12.8
N =	67	40	- -	55	50	- -	24	28	- -	19	27	- -

Table 13. Change in Place of Residence Expectation of Rural Louisiana Black Girls by Residence, 1968-1972 (Percentages)

Expectation	RESIDENCE											
	Farm			Open-Country (Nonfarm)			Town or Village			City		
	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change
Large City	27.3	40.0	+12.7	32.1	33.3	+1.2	29.2	25.0	-4.2	46.2	28.6	-17.6
Small City	33.3	50.0	+16.7	35.8	31.1	-4.7	45.8	41.7	-4.1	46.2	57.1	+10.9
Town or Village	9.1	10.0	+0.9	18.9	4.4	-14.5	16.7	8.3	-8.4	7.7	14.3	+6.6
Country (Nonfarm)	18.2	0.0	-18.2	13.2	31.1	+17.9	8.3	25.0	+16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
Farm	12.1	0.0	-12.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	- -	100.0	99.9	- -	100.0	100.0	- -	100.1	100.0	- -
URBAN	60.6	90.0	+29.4	67.9	64.4	-3.5	75.0	66.7	-8.3	92.4	85.7	-6.7
RURAL	39.4	10.0	-29.4	32.1	35.5	+3.4	25.0	33.3	+8.3	7.7	14.3	+6.6
N =	33	10	- -	53	45	- -	24	12	- -	13	7	- -

Table 14. Change in Place of Residence Expectation of Rural Louisiana White Girls by Residence, 1968-1972 (Percentage)

Expectation	RESIDENCE											
	Farm			Open-Country (Nonfarm)			Town or Village			City		
	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change
Large City	10.0	8.7	-1.3	3.8	11.7	+7.9	6.7	4.9	-1.8	9.5	18.2	+8.7
Small City	36.0	17.4	-18.6	41.5	26.7	-14.8	46.7	46.3	-0.4	76.2	54.5	-21.7
Town or Village	18.0	13.0	-5.0	18.9	18.3	-0.6	26.7	34.1	+7.4	0.0	18.2	+18.2
Country (Nonfarm)	20.0	39.1	+19.1	34.0	40.0	+6.0	20.0	7.3	-12.7	9.5	6.1	-3.4
Farm	16.0	21.7	+5.7	1.9	3.3	+1.4	0.0	7.3	+7.3	4.8	3.0	-1.8
Total	100.0	99.9	- -	100.1	100.0	- -	100.1	99.9	- -	100.0	100.0	- -
URBAN	46.0	26.1	-19.9	45.3	38.4	-6.9	53.4	51.2	-2.2	85.7	72.7	-13.0
RURAL	54.0	73.8	+19.8	54.8	61.6	+6.8	46.7	48.7	+2.0	14.3	27.3	+13.0
N =	50	23	- -	53	60	- -	30	41	- -	21	33	- -

0031

0032

Table 15. Change in Anticipatory Deflection from Residence Aspirations of Rural Louisiana Black Boys by Residence, 1968-1972 (Percentage)

Anticipatory Deflection	RESIDENCE											
	Farm			Open-Country (Nonfarm)			Town or Village			City		
	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change
None	85.7	57.1	-28.6	84.4	78.9	-5.5	75.0	84.0	+9.0	100.0	100.0	0.0
Rural to Urban	7.1	28.6	+21.5	12.5	10.5	-2.0	12.5	4.0	-8.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
Urban to Rural	3.6	0.0	-3.6	0.0	5.3	+5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other	3.6	14.3	+10.7	3.1	5.3	+2.2	12.5	12.0	-0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	100.0	100.0	- -	100.0	100.0	- -	100.0	100.0	- -	100.0	100.0	- -
N =	28	7	- -	32	19	- -	8	25	- -	7	4	- -

Table 16. Change in Anticipatory Deflection from Residence Aspirations of Rural Louisiana White Boys by Residence, 1968-1972 (Percentages)

Anticipatory Deflection	RESIDENCE											
	Farm			Open-Country (Nonfarm)			Town or Village			City		
	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change
None	65.6	70.3	+4.7	68.0	86.0	+18.0	73.7	78.6	+4.9	93.8	51.9	-41.9
Rural to Urban	16.4	21.6	+5.2	18.0	8.0	-10.0	10.5	14.3	+3.8	0.0	25.9	+25.9
Urban to Rural	1.6	2.7	+1.1	2.0	2.0	0.0	15.8	0.0	-15.8	6.2	0.0	-6.2
Other	16.4	5.4	-11.0	12.0	4.0	-8.0	0.0	7.1	+7.1	0.0	22.2	+22.2
Total	100.0	100.0	- -	100.0	100.0	- -	100.0	100.0	- -	100.0	100.0	- -
N =	61	37	- -	50	50	- -	19	28	- -	16	27	- -

Table 17. Change in Anticipatory Deflection from Residence Aspirations of Rural Louisiana Black Girls by Residence, 1968-1972 (Percentages)

Anticipatory Deflection	RESIDENCE											
	Farm			Open-Country (Nonfarm)			Town or Village			City		
	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change
None	62.1	90.0	+27.9	75.0	84.4	+9.4	81.8	83.3	+1.5	75.0	85.7	+10.7
Rural to Urban	24.1	10.0	-14.1	1.9	2.2	+0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	-8.3
Urban to Rural	6.9	0.0	-6.9	9.6	4.4	-5.2	4.5	8.3	+3.8	8.3	14.3	+6.0
Other	6.9	0.0	-6.9	13.5	8.9	-4.6	13.6	8.3	-5.3	8.3	0.0	-8.3
Total	100.0	100.0	- -	100.0	99.9	- -	99.9	99.9	- -	99.9	100.0	- -
N =	29	10	- -	52	45	- -	22	12	- -	12	7	- -

Table 18. Change in Anticipatory Deflection from Residence Aspirations of Rural Louisiana White Girls by Residence, 1968-1972 (Percentages)

Anticipatory Deflection	RESIDENCE											
	Farm			Open-Country (Nonfarm)			Town or Village			City		
	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change
None	61.2	69.6	+8.4	71.4	70.0	-1.4	71.4	78.0	+6.6	57.1	65.6	+8.5
Rural to Urban	14.3	4.3	-10.0	16.3	16.7	+0.4	10.7	12.2	+1.5	28.6	15.6	-13.0
Urban to Rural	6.1	4.3	-1.8	6.1	3.3	-2.8	10.7	9.8	-0.9	0.0	3.1	+3.1
Other	18.4	21.7	+3.3	6.1	10.0	+3.9	7.1	0.0	-7.1	14.3	15.6	+1.3
Total	100.0	99.9	- -	99.9	100.0	- -	99.9	100.0	- -	100.0	99.9	- -
N =	49	23	- -	49	60	- -	28	41	- -	21	32	- -

Table 19. Change in Level of Intensity of Residence Aspirations of Rural Louisiana Black Boys by Residence, 1968-1972 (Percentages)

Level of Intensity	RESIDENCE											
	Farm			Open-Country (Nonfarm)			Town or Village			City		
	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change
High (1, 2)	6.9	0.0	-6.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	8.0	-2.0	18.2	0.0	-18.2
(Intermed. (3, 4, 5)	86.2	87.5	+1.3	76.7	88.9	+12.2	70.0	76.0	+6.0	72.7	100.0	+27.3
Low (6, 7)	6.9	12.5	+5.6	23.3	11.1	-12.2	20.0	16.0	-4.0	9.1	0.0	-9.1
Total	100.0	100.0	- -	100.0	100.0	- -	100.0	100.0	- -	100.0	100.0	- -
N =	29	8	- -	30	18	- -	10	25	- -	11	3	- -

Table 20. Change in Level of Intensity of Residence Aspirations of Rural Louisiana White Boys by Residence, 1968-1972 (Percentages)

Level of Intensity	RESIDENCE											
	Farm			Open-Country (Nonfarm)			Town or Village			City		
	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change
High (1, 2)	21.7	10.3	-11.4	17.9	10.0	-7.9	4.2	3.3	-0.9	10.5	18.5	+8.0
Intermed. (3, 4, 5)	63.8	71.8	+8.0	57.1	84.0	+26.9	75.0	70.0	-5.0	52.6	74.1	+21.5
Low (6, 7)	14.5	17.9	+3.4	25.0	6.0	-19.0	20.8	26.7	+5.9	36.8	7.4	-29.4
Total	100.0	100.0	- -	100.0	100.0	- -	100.0	100.0	- -	99.9	100.0	- -
N =	69	39	- -	56	50	- -	24	30	- -	19	27	- -

Table 21. Change in Level of Intensity of Residence Aspirations of Rural Louisiana Black Girls by Residence, 1968-1972 (Percentages)

Level of Intensity	RESIDENCE											
	Farm			Open-Country (Nonfarm)			Town or Village			City		
	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change
High (1, 2)	9.4	0.0	-9.4	2.0	11.4	+9.4	12.0	0.0	-12.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Intermed. (3, 4, 5)	84.4	88.9	+4.5	84.3	63.6	-20.7	68.0	90.0	+22.0	91.7	85.7	-6.0
Low (6, 7)	6.2	11.1	+4.9	13.7	25.0	+11.3	20.0	10.0	-10.0	8.3	14.3	+6.0
Total	100.0	100.0	- -	100.0	100.0	- -	100.0	100.0	- -	100.0	100.0	- -
N =	32	9	- -	51	44	- -	25	10	- -	12	7	- -

Table 22. Change in Level of Intensity of Residence Aspirations of Rural Louisiana White Girls by Residence, 1968-1972 (Percentages)

Level of Intensity	RESIDENCE											
	Farm			Open-Country (Nonfarm)			Town or Village			City		
	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change
High (1, 2)	11.3	8.3	-3.0	13.5	5.0	-8.5	20.0	19.0	-1.0	19.0	17.1	-1.9
Intermed. (3, 4, 5)	69.8	83.3	+13.5	67.3	76.7	+9.4	66.7	61.9	-4.8	52.4	68.6	+16.2
Low (6, 7)	18.9	8.3	-10.6	19.2	18.3	-0.9	13.3	19.0	+5.7	28.6	14.3	-14.3
Total	100.0	99.9	- -	100.0	100.0	- -	100.0	99.9	- -	100.0	100.0	- -
N =	53	24	- -	52	60	- -	30	42	- -	21	35	- -

Table 23. Change in Certainty of Residence Expectation of Rural Louisiana Black Boys by Residence, 1968-1972 (Percentages)

Certainty of Expectation	RESIDENCE											
	Farm			Open-Country (Nonfarm)			Town or Village			City		
	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change
Very Certain	19.4	12.5	-6.9	14.3	21.7	+7.4	9.1	30.8	+21.7	30.0	50.0	+20.0
Certain	29.0	37.5	+8.5	54.3	21.7	-32.6	18.2	15.4	-2.8	20.0	25.0	+5.0
Not Very Certain	38.7	25.0	-13.7	20.0	39.1	+19.1	54.5	38.5	-16.0	50.0	0.0	-50.0
Uncertain	12.9	25.0	+12.1	11.4	17.4	+6.0	18.2	15.4	-2.8	0.0	25.0	+25.0
Total	100.0	100.0	- -	100.0	99.9	- -	100.0	100.1	- -	100.0	100.0	- -
N =	31	8	- -	35	23	- -	11	26	- -	10	4	- -

Table 24. Change in Certainty of Residence Expectations of Rural Louisiana White Boys by Residence, 1968-1972 (Percentages)

Certainty of Expectations	RESIDENCE											
	Farm			Open-Country (Nonfarm)			Town or Village			City		
	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change
Very Certain	22.1	29.3	+7.2	14.6	17.6	+3.0	4.2	24.1	+19.9	15.8	17.9	+2.1
Certain	33.8	41.5	+7.7	30.9	39.2	+8.3	29.2	31.0	+1.8	31.6	53.6	+22.0
Not Very Certain	33.8	24.4	-9.4	40.0	31.4	-8.6	45.8	37.9	-7.9	42.1	25.0	-17.1
Uncertain	10.3	4.9	-5.4	14.5	11.8	-2.7	20.8	6.9	-13.9	10.5	3.6	-6.9
Total	100.0	100.1	- -	100.0	100.0	- -	100.0	99.9	- -	100.0	100.1	- -
N =	68	41	- -	55	51	- -	24	29	- -	19	28	- -

Table 25. Change in Certainty of Residence Expectations of Rural Louisiana Black Girls by Residence, 1968-1972 (Percentages)

Certainty of Expectations	RESIDENCE											
	Farm			Open-Country (Nonfarm)			Town or Village			City		
	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change
Very Certain	24.2	11.1	-13.1	30.8	10.9	-19.9	8.7	33.3	+24.6	23.1	28.6	+5.5
Certain	39.4	33.3	-6.1	32.7	41.3	+8.6	47.8	25.0	-22.8	53.8	14.3	-39.5
Not Very Certain	33.3	55.6	+22.3	26.9	39.1	+12.2	34.8	41.7	+6.9	23.1	28.6	+5.5
Uncertain	3.0	0.0	-3.0	9.6	8.7	-0.9	8.7	0.0	-8.7	0.0	28.6	+28.6
Total	99.9	100.0	- -	100.0	100.0	- -	100.0	100.0	- -	100.0	100.1	- -
N =	33	9	- -	52	46	- -	23	12	- -	13	7	- -

Table 26. Change in Certainty of Residence Expectations of Rural Louisiana Black Girls by Residence, 1968-1972 (Percentages)

Certainty of Expectation	RESIDENCE											
	Farm			Open-Country (Nonfarm)			Town or Village			City		
	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change	1968 %	1972 %	Change
Very Certain	5.9	20.0	+14.1	11.3	15.0	+3.7	3.3	21.4	+18.1	14.3	28.6	+14.3
Certain	33.3	56.0	+22.7	28.3	30.0	+1.7	40.0	28.6	-11.4	33.3	34.3	+1.0
Not Very Certain	51.0	24.0	-27.0	39.6	45.0	+5.4	43.3	31.0	-12.3	42.9	20.0	-22.9
Uncertain	9.8	0.0	-9.8	20.8	10.0	-10.8	13.3	19.0	+5.7	9.5	17.1	+7.6
Total	100.0	100.0	- -	100.0	100.0	- -	99.9	100.0	- -	100.0	100.0	- -
N =	51	25	- -	53	60	- -	30	42	- -	21	35	- -

0055

0056

Working Table A. Frequency Distributions of Residence Orientations by Race and Sex, 1968 and 1972

	Black Boys		White Boys		Black Girls		White Girls	
	1968	1972	1968	1972	1968	1972	1968	1972
N=	91	64	168	150	126	77	157	162
Residence Aspirations								
Large City	19	16	7	7	39	26	12	16
Small City	15	19	34	22	43	29	53	47
Town or Village	14	9	16	21	13	5	20	31
Country(nonfarm)	16	12	50	68	16	16	50	48
Farm	15	5	41	27	4	0	15	19
No Information	12	3	20	5	11	1	7	1
Residence Expectations								
Large City	22	12	13	11	39	25	11	17
Small City	19	22	51	38	47	29	70	57
Town or Village	15	11	22	21	18	5	27	34
Country(nonfarm)	16	11	45	53	15	17	36	38
Farm	14	1	34	22	4	0	10	11
No Information	5	7	3	5	3	1	3	5
Anticipatory Deflection								
None	64	44	103	105	84	64	97	111
Rural to Urban	7	5	21	23	9	2	24	21
Urban to Rural	1	1	5	2	9	4	9	8
Other	3	5	17	12	13	5	17	16
No Information	16	9	22	8	11	2	10	6
Intensity of Aspirations								
1	2	1	11	7	1	0	5	8
2	3	1	17	8	6	5	18	11
3	14	6	25	27	24	9	28	23
4	32	24	35	46	45	24	39	44
5	17	16	44	38	29	19	36	49
6	9	6	30	13	12	9	23	21
7	3	1	6	7	3	6	7	5
No Information	11	9	0	4	6	5	1	1
Certainty of Expectations								
Very Certain	15	17	27	33	29	14	13	33
Certain	32	13	53	61	48	26	51	56
Not Very Certain	30	21	64	44	36	30	69	53
Uncertain	8	8	19	11	7	5	17	14
Very Uncertain	2	3	3	0	1	1	5	6
No Information	4	2	2	1	5	1	2	0